

Sixth World Congress
of the Bachelier Finance Society
Toronto

Pricing of perpetual American options in a model with partial information

Pavel Gapeev

London School of Economics

23 June 2010

Outline

- The hidden Markov (switching) model
- The optimal stopping problem
- The optimal exercise boundary
- The free-boundary problem I
- The case of full information
- The change of variables
- The free-boundary problem II
- The verification assertion
- The main result
- Some estimates

Outline

- The hidden Markov (switching) model
- The optimal stopping problem
- The optimal exercise boundary
- The free-boundary problem I
- The case of full information
- The change of variables
- The free-boundary problem II
- The verification assertion
- The main result
- Some estimates

Outline

- The hidden Markov (switching) model
- The optimal stopping problem
- The optimal exercise boundary
- The free-boundary problem I
- The case of full information
- The change of variables
- The free-boundary problem II
- The verification assertion
- The main result
- Some estimates

Outline

- The hidden Markov (switching) model
- The optimal stopping problem
- The optimal exercise boundary
- The free-boundary problem I
- The case of full information
- The change of variables
- The free-boundary problem II
- The verification assertion
- The main result
- Some estimates

Outline

- The hidden Markov (switching) model
- The optimal stopping problem
- The optimal exercise boundary
- The free-boundary problem I
- The case of full information
 - The change of variables
 - The free-boundary problem II
 - The verification assertion
 - The main result
 - Some estimates

Outline

- The hidden Markov (switching) model
- The optimal stopping problem
- The optimal exercise boundary
- The free-boundary problem I
- The case of full information
- The change of variables
- The free-boundary problem II
- The verification assertion
- The main result
- Some estimates

Outline

- The hidden Markov (switching) model
- The optimal stopping problem
- The optimal exercise boundary
- The free-boundary problem I
- The case of full information
- The change of variables
- The free-boundary problem II
- The verification assertion
- The main result
- Some estimates

Outline

- The hidden Markov (switching) model
- The optimal stopping problem
- The optimal exercise boundary
- The free-boundary problem I
- The case of full information
- The change of variables
- The free-boundary problem II
- The verification assertion
- The main result
- Some estimates

Outline

- The hidden Markov (switching) model
- The optimal stopping problem
- The optimal exercise boundary
- The free-boundary problem I
- The case of full information
- The change of variables
- The free-boundary problem II
- The verification assertion
- The main result
- Some estimates

Outline

- The hidden Markov (switching) model
- The optimal stopping problem
- The optimal exercise boundary
- The free-boundary problem I
- The case of full information
- The change of variables
- The free-boundary problem II
- The verification assertion
- The main result
- Some estimates

The model

Let (Ω, \mathcal{G}, P) be a probability space, $B = (B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a standard Brownian motion,

$\Theta = (\Theta_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a continuous Markov chain with two states 0 and 1,

initial distribution $[1 - \pi, \pi]$ for $\pi \in [0, 1]$,

transition probability matrix $[e^{-\lambda_0 t}, 1 - e^{-\lambda_0 t}; 1 - e^{-\lambda_1 t}, e^{-\lambda_1 t}]$ for $t \geq 0$,

and intensity matrix $[-\lambda_0, \lambda_0; \lambda_1, -\lambda_1]$ for some $\lambda_i \geq 0$, $i = 0, 1$.

Assume that the asset price $S = (S_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is given by:

$$S_t = s \exp \left(\int_0^t \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} - \delta_0 - (\delta_1 - \delta_0) \Theta_u \right) du + \sigma B_t \right)$$

where $r \geq 0$, $\sigma > 0$, $0 < \delta_i < r$, $i = 0, 1$.

The asset with price S pays dividends at the rate δ_0 when $\Theta_t = 0$,

and at the rate δ_1 when $\Theta_t = 1$.

The model

It is shown that the asset price solves the equation

$$dS_t = (r - \delta_0 - (\delta_1 - \delta_0) \Theta_t) S_t dt + \sigma S_t dB_t \quad (S_0 = s)$$

and thus admits the representation

$$dS_t = (r - \delta_0 - (\delta_1 - \delta_0) \Pi_t) S_t dt + \sigma S_t d\bar{B}_t \quad (S_0 = s)$$

where the filtering estimate $\Pi = (\Pi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ defined by $\Pi_t = E[\Theta_t | \mathcal{F}_t]$

$\equiv P(\Theta_t = 1 | \mathcal{F}_t)$ solves the equation

$$d\Pi_t = (\lambda_1 (1 - \Pi_t) - \lambda_0 \Pi_t) dt - \frac{\delta_1 - \delta_0}{\sigma} \Pi_t (1 - \Pi_t) d\bar{B}_t \quad (\Pi_0 = \pi)$$

and the process $\bar{B} = (\bar{B}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ defined by

$$\bar{B}_t = \int_0^t \frac{dS_u}{\sigma S_u} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_0^t (r - \delta_0 - (\delta_1 - \delta_0) \Pi_u) du$$

is the innovation Brownian motion.

Some references

- [1] R.S. Liptser and A.N. Shiryaev (1977). *Statistics of Random Processes I-II*. Springer, Berlin.
- [2] R.J. Elliott, L. Aggoun and J.B. Moore (1995). *Hidden Markov Models: Estimation and Control*. Springer, Berlin.
- [3] A.N. Shiryaev (1999). *Essentials of Stochastic Finance*. World Scientific.
- [4] R.J. Elliott, C.A. Wilson (2007). The term structure of interest rates in a hidden Markov setting. In the Volume *Hidden Markov Models in Finance*. R.S. Mamon and R.J. Elliott eds. Springer, New York (15–30).
- [5] D.C. Brody, L.P. Hughston, and A. Macrina (2008). Information-based asset pricing. *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance* **11** (107–142).
- [6] P.G. and M. Jeanblanc (2010). Pricing and filtering in a two-dimensional models with random dividends. To appear in *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance*

The optimal stopping problem

The problem is to compute the value

$$V_* = \sup_{\tau} E[e^{-r\tau} (S_{\tau} - K)^+]$$

where the supremum is taken over τ with respect to $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(S_u | 0 \leq u \leq t)$.

Let us consider the following extended optimal stopping problem

$$V_*(s, \pi) = \sup_{\tau} E_{s, \pi}[e^{-r\tau} (S_{\tau} - K)^+]$$

where $P_{s, \pi}$ is a measure of (S, Π) started at some $(s, \pi) \in (0, \infty) \times [0, 1]$.

The optimal stopping time is given by

$$\tau_* = \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid V_*(S_t, \Pi_t) \leq (S_t - K)^+\}$$

so that the continuation region has the form

$$C_* = \{(s, \pi) \in (0, \infty) \times [0, 1] \mid V_*(s, \pi) > (s - K)^+\}.$$

The optimal stopping boundary

By means of a generalized Itô's formula, we get:

$$e^{-rt} (S_t - K)^+ = (s - K)^+ + M_t^K + \int_0^t e^{-ru} \Delta(S_u, \Pi_u) I(S_u > K) du + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t e^{-ru} I(S_u \neq K) d\ell_u^K(S)$$

where $\Delta(s, \pi) = rK - (\delta_0 + (\delta_1 - \delta_0)\pi)s$ and

$$\ell_t^K(S) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t I(K - \varepsilon < S_u < K + \varepsilon) \sigma^2 S_u^2 du$$

exists as a limit in probability. Here, the process $M^K = (M_t^K)_{t \geq 0}$ defined by:

$$M_t^K = \int_0^t e^{-ru} I(S_u > K) \sigma S_u d\bar{B}_u$$

is a continuous (uniformly integrable) martingale under $P_{s, \pi}$.

The optimal stopping boundary

Applying Doob's optional sampling theorem, we get:

$$E_{s,\pi} [e^{-r\tau} (S_\tau - K)^+] = (s - K)^+ \\ + E_{s,\pi} \left[\int_0^\tau e^{-ru} \Delta(S_u, \Pi_u) I(S_u > K) du + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\tau e^{-ru} I(S_u \neq K) d\ell_u^K(S) \right]$$

for any τ and all $(s, \pi) \in (0, \infty) \times [0, 1]$.

It is seen that it is never optimal to stop when

$$\Delta(S_t, \Pi_t) \equiv rK - (\delta_0 + (\delta_1 - \delta_0)\Pi_t)S_t \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad S_t > K$$

and thus, all the points (s, π) such that

$$K < s \leq \underline{b}(\pi) \quad \text{with} \quad \underline{b}(\pi) = rK / (\delta_0 + (\delta_1 - \delta_0)\pi)$$

belong to C_* clearly containing the rectangle $\{(s, \pi) \in (0, K] \times [0, 1]\}$.

The optimal stopping boundary

For some $(s, \pi) \in C_*$ and $\tau_* = \tau_*(s, \pi)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & V_*(s, \pi) - (s - K)^+ \\ &= E_{s, \pi} \left[\int_0^{\tau_*} e^{-ru} \Delta(S_u, \Pi_u) I(S_u > K) du + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\tau_*} e^{-ru} I(S_u \neq K) d\ell_u^K(S) \right] > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, taking $K < \underline{b}(\pi) < s' < s$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & V_*(s', \pi) - (s' - K)^+ \\ & \geq E_{s', \pi} \left[\int_0^{\tau_*} e^{-ru} \Delta(S_u, \Pi_u) I(S_u > K) du + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\tau_*} e^{-ru} I(S_u \neq K) d\ell_u^K(S) \right] \\ & \geq E_{s, \pi} \left[\int_0^{\tau_*} e^{-ru} \Delta(S_u, \Pi_u) I(S_u > K) du + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\tau_*} e^{-ru} I(S_u \neq K) d\ell_u^K(S) \right] \end{aligned}$$

and taking into account $0 < \delta_i < r$, we see that $(s', \pi) \in C_*$.

The optimal stopping boundary

These arguments together with concavity of $s \mapsto V_*(s, \pi)$ show that there exists a function $b_*(\pi)$ such that $K < \underline{b}(\pi) \leq b_*(\pi)$ for all $\pi \in [0, 1]$, and

$$C_* = \{(s, \pi) \in (0, \infty) \times [0, 1] \mid s < b_*(\pi)\}$$

so that the corresponding stopping region is the closure of the set:

$$D_* = \{(s, \pi) \in (0, \infty) \times [0, 1] \mid s > b_*(\pi)\}.$$

Lemma 1. *The optimal exercise time has the structure:*

$$\tau_* = \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid S_t \geq b_*(\Pi_t)\}$$

where the function $b_*(\pi)$ satisfies the properties:

$b_*(\pi) : [0, 1] \rightarrow (K, \infty)$ is decreasing/increasing if $\delta_0 < \delta_1 / \delta_0 > \delta_1$

$K < \underline{b}(\pi) \leq b_*(\pi)$ with $\underline{b}(\pi) = rK / (\delta_0 + (\delta_1 - \delta_0)\pi)$.

The optimal stopping boundary

For any $(s, \pi) \in C_*$, we take π' such that $\pi' < \pi$ if $\delta_0 < \delta_1$ (or $\pi < \pi'$ if $\delta_0 > \delta_1$) whenever $s > K$. Then, since $\tau_* = \tau_*(s, \pi)$ does not depend on π' , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & V_*(s, \pi') - (s - K)^+ \\ & \geq E_{s, \pi'} \left[\int_0^{\tau_*} e^{-ru} \Delta(S_u, \Pi_u) I(S_u > K) du + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\tau_*} e^{-ru} I(S_u \neq K) d\ell_u^K(S) \right] \\ & \geq E_{s, \pi} \left[\int_0^{\tau_*} e^{-ru} \Delta(S_u, \Pi_u) I(S_u > K) du + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\tau_*} e^{-ru} I(S_u \neq K) d\ell_u^K(S) \right] \\ & = V_*(s, \pi) - (s - K)^+ > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and thus conclude that $(s, \pi') \in C_*$, so that the boundary $b_*(\pi)$ is decreasing (increasing) on $[0, 1]$ whenever $\delta_0 < \delta_1$ ($\delta_0 > \delta_1$).

The free-boundary problem I

The infinitesimal operator $\mathbb{L}_{(S,\Pi)}$ has the structure:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{L}_{(S,\Pi)} = & (r - \delta_0 - (\delta_1 - \delta_0) \pi) s \partial_s + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 s^2 \partial_{ss} - (\delta_1 - \delta_0) s \pi (1 - \pi) \partial_{s\pi} \\ & + (\lambda_1 (1 - \pi) - \lambda_0 \pi) \partial_\pi + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\delta_1 - \delta_0}{\sigma} \right)^2 \pi^2 (1 - \pi)^2 \partial_{\pi\pi}\end{aligned}$$

for all $(s, \pi) \in (0, \infty) \times [0, 1]$.

It follows from the general optimal stopping theory that the unknown value function $V_*(s, \pi)$ and the boundary $b_*(\pi)$ satisfy the free-boundary problem:

$$(\mathbb{L}_{(S,\Pi)} V - rV)(s, \pi) = 0 \quad \text{for } (s, \pi) \in C$$

$$V(s, \pi) \Big|_{s=b(\pi)-} = b(\pi) - K \quad (\text{instantaneous stopping})$$

$$V(s, \pi) = (s - K)^+ \quad \text{for } (s, \pi) \in D$$

$$V(s, \pi) > (s - K)^+ \quad \text{for } (s, \pi) \in C.$$

The case of full information

Let us now recall the problem with full information

$$W_*(s, \pi) = \sup_{\tau'} E_{s, \pi} [e^{-r\tau'} (S_{\tau'} - K)^+]$$

where the supremum is taken τ' with respect to $\mathcal{G}_t = \sigma(S_u, \Theta_u | 0 \leq u \leq t)$.

$$\tau'_* = \inf\{t \geq 0 | S_t \geq a_*(\Theta_t)\}.$$

The functions $W_*(s, i)$ and the boundaries $a_*(i)$, $i = 0, 1$, solve:

$$(r - \delta_i) s W_s(s, i) + \lambda_i W(s, 1 - i) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 s^2 W_{ss}(s, i) = (r + \lambda_i) W(s, i)$$

$$W(s, i) \Big|_{s=a(i)-} = a(i) - K \quad (\text{instantaneous stopping})$$

$$W_s(s, i) \Big|_{s=a(i)-} = 1 \quad (\text{smooth fit})$$

$$W(s, i) = (s - K)^+ \quad \text{for } s > a(i)$$

$$W(s, i) > (s - K)^+ \quad \text{for } s < a(i).$$

The case of full information

The general solution of the free-boundary problem is given by:

$$W(s, i) = C_1(i) s^{\beta_1} + C_2(i) s^{\beta_2} \quad \text{for } s < a(0)$$

$$W(s, 1) = C_3(1) s^{\gamma_1} + \frac{\lambda_1 s}{\delta_1 + \lambda_1} - \frac{\lambda_1 K}{r + \lambda_1} \quad \text{for } a(0) < s < a(1)$$

where the constants $C_j(i)$ and the boundaries $a(i)$, $i = 0, 1$, $j = 1, 2$, satisfy:

$$C_1(0) a^{\beta_1}(0) + C_2(0) a^{\beta_2}(0) = a(0) - K$$

$$C_1(1) a^{\beta_1}(0) + C_2(1) a^{\beta_2}(0) = C_3(1) a^{\gamma_1}(0) + \frac{\lambda_1 s}{\delta_1 + \lambda_1} - \frac{\lambda_1 K}{r + \lambda_1}$$

$$C_1(0) \beta_1 a^{\beta_1}(0) + C_2(0) \beta_2 a^{\beta_2}(0) = a(0)$$

$$C_1(1) \beta_1 a^{\beta_1}(0) + C_2(1) \beta_2 a^{\beta_2}(0) = C_3(1) \gamma_1 a^{\gamma_1}(0) + \frac{\lambda_1}{\delta_1 + \lambda_1}$$

$$C_1(1) \beta_1 (\beta_1 - 1) a^{\beta_1}(0) + C_2(1) \beta_2 (\beta_2 - 1) a^{\beta_2}(0) = C_3(1) \gamma_1 (\gamma_1 - 1) a^{\gamma_1}(0).$$

The case of full information

The particular solution of the system is given by:

$$W_*(s, i) = \begin{cases} W(s, i; a_*(i)), & \text{if } 0 < s < a_*(i) \\ s - K, & \text{if } s \geq a_*(i) \end{cases}$$

where

$$W(s, 0; a_*(0)) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{(\beta_{3-j} - 1)a_*(0) - \beta_{3-j}K}{\beta_{3-j} - \beta_j} \left(\frac{s}{a_*(0)}\right)^{\beta_j} \quad \text{for } s < a_*(0) \quad \text{and}$$

$$W(s, 1; a_*(0)) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{\beta_{3-j}W(a_*(0), 1; a_*(1)) - W_s(a_*(0), 1; a_*(1))a_*(0)}{\beta_{3-j} - \beta_j} \left(\frac{s}{a_*(0)}\right)^{\beta_j}$$

$$W(s, 1; a_*(1)) = \left(\frac{\delta_1 a_*(1)}{\delta_1 + \lambda_1} - \frac{rK}{r + \lambda_1}\right) \left(\frac{s}{a_*(1)}\right)^{\gamma_1} + \frac{\lambda_1 s}{\delta_1 + \lambda_1} - \frac{\lambda_1 K}{r + \lambda_1}$$

for $a_*(0) < s < a_*(1)$.

The case of full information

Here, $a_*(0)$ is determined from:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^2 (-1)^j \beta_j (\beta_j - 1) [\beta_{3-j} W(a_*(0), 1; a_*(1)) - W_s(a_*(0), 1; a_*(1)) a_*(0)] \\ &= (\beta_1 - \beta_2) \frac{\gamma_1 r K}{r + \lambda_1} \end{aligned}$$

and $a_*(1)$ is explicitly given by:

$$a_*(1) = \frac{\gamma_1 K}{\gamma_1 - 1} \frac{r}{r + \lambda_1} \frac{\delta_1 + \lambda_1}{\delta_1}$$

where the numbers $\beta_2 < \beta_1$ are the two largest roots of:

$$\left(r + \lambda_0 - \beta(r - \delta_0) - \frac{1}{2}\beta(\beta - 1)\sigma^2 \right) \left(r + \lambda_1 - \beta(r - \delta_1) - \frac{1}{2}\beta(\beta - 1)\sigma^2 \right) = \lambda_0 \lambda_1$$

and $\gamma_2 < 0 < 1 < \gamma_1$ are explicitly given by:

$$\gamma_i = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{r - \delta_1}{\sigma^2} - (-1)^i \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{r - \delta_1}{\sigma^2} \right)^2 + \frac{2(r + \lambda_1)}{\sigma^2}}$$

Some references

- [7] X. Guo (2001). Information and option pricing. *Quantitative Finance* **1** (38–44).
- [8] X. Guo (2001). An explicit solution to an optimal stopping problem with regime switching. *Journal of Applied Probability* **38** (464–481).
- [9] Z. Jiang and M.R. Pistorius (2008). On perpetual American put valuation and first-passage in a regime-switching model with jumps. *Finance and Stochastics* **12** (331–355).
- [10] G. Peskir (2007). A change-of-variable formula with local time on surfaces. *Séminaire de Probabilité XL. Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1899**, Springer (69–96).
- [11] A.N. Shiryaev (1978). *Optimal Stopping Rules*. Springer, Berlin.
- [12] G. Peskir and A.N. Shiryaev (2006). *Optimal Stopping and Free-Boundary*

The change of variables

Let us define the process $Y = (Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ by:

$$Y_t = \frac{S_t^{-\eta} \Pi_t}{1 - \Pi_t}$$

with $\eta = (\delta_1 - \delta_0)/\sigma^2$. Then, we have:

$$dS_t = \left(r - \delta_0 - (\delta_1 - \delta_0) \frac{S_t^\eta Y_t}{1 + S_t^\eta Y_t} \right) S_t dt + \sigma S_t d\bar{B}_t \quad (S_0 = s)$$

$$dY_t = \left(\frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_0 S_t^\eta Y_t}{1 + S_t^\eta Y_t} - \frac{\eta}{2} (2r - \delta_0 - \delta_1 - \sigma^2) \right) Y_t dt \quad \left(Y_0 = y \equiv \frac{s^{-\eta} \pi}{1 - \pi} \right)$$

for any $(s, \pi) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, 1)$. The value function is given by:

$$U_*(s, y) = \sup_{\tau} E_{s, y} [e^{-r\tau} (S_\tau - K)^+]$$

and the optimal stopping time has the form:

$$\tau_* = \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid S_t \geq g_*(Y_t)\}.$$

The free-boundary problem II

The infinitesimal operator $\mathbb{L}_{(S,Y)}$ has the structure:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{L}_{(S,Y)} = & \left(r - \delta_0 - (\delta_1 - \delta_0) \frac{s^\eta y}{1 + s^\eta y} \right) s \partial_s + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 s^2 \partial_{ss} \\ & + \left(\frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_0 s^\eta y}{1 + s^\eta y} - \frac{\eta}{2} (2r - \delta_0 - \delta_1 - \sigma^2) \right) y \partial_y\end{aligned}$$

for all $(s, y) \in (0, \infty)^2$. The function $U_*(s, y)$ and the boundary $g_*(y)$ solves:

$$(\mathbb{L}_{(S,Y)}U - rU)(s, y) = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 < s < g(y)$$

$$U(s, y)|_{s=g(y)-} = g(y) - K \quad (\text{instantaneous stopping})$$

$$U(s, y) = (s - K)^+ \quad \text{for } s > g(y)$$

$$U(s, y) > (s - K)^+ \quad \text{for } s < g(y)$$

$$U(s, y)|_{s=0+} = 0 \quad (\text{natural boundary}), \quad U_s(s, y)|_{s=g(y)-} = 1 \quad (\text{smooth fit})$$

$$U_y(s, y)|_{s=g(y)-} \text{ exists.}$$

The verification assertion

Lemma 2. *The value function takes the form:*

$$U_*(s, y) = \begin{cases} U(s, y; g_*(y)), & \text{if } 0 < s < g_*(y) \\ s - K, & \text{if } s \geq g_*(y) \end{cases}$$

and $K < \underline{g}(y) \leq g_*(y)$ holds for the boundary $g_*(y)$ with:

$$\underline{g}^{-1}(s) = (\delta_0 s - rK)s^{-\eta} / (rK - \delta_1 s).$$

for each $rK / (\delta_0 \vee \delta_1) < s < rK / (\delta_0 \wedge \delta_1)$ with $\eta = (\delta_0 - \delta_1) / \sigma^2$ and $y > 0$.

Proof. Applying the change-of-variable to the solution $e^{-rt}U(s, y)$, we obtain:

$$e^{-rt}U(S_t, Y_t) = U(s, y) + \int_0^t e^{-ru} (\mathbb{L}_{(S,Y)}U - rU)(S_u, Y_u) I(S_u \neq g_*(Y_u)) du + M_t$$

with the continuous local martingale $M = (M_t)_{t \geq 0}$ defined by:

$$M_t = \int_0^t e^{-ru} U_s(S_u, Y_u) I(S_u \neq g_*(Y_u)) \sigma S_u d\bar{B}_u.$$

The verification assertion

It follows that the inequalities:

$$(\mathbb{L}_{(S,Y)}U - rU)(s, y) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } (s, y) \in (0, \infty)^2$$

$$U(s, y) \geq (s - K)^+ \quad \text{or} \quad \underline{g}(y) \leq g_*(y) \quad \text{for } (s, y) \in (0, \infty)^2$$

hold, and thus

$$e^{-r\tau} (S_\tau - K)^+ \leq e^{-r\tau} U(S_\tau, Y_\tau) \leq U(s, y) + M_\tau$$

for all stopping times τ of (S, Y) started at $(s, y) \in (0, \infty)^2$.

Then, for an arbitrary localizing sequence $(\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{s,y} [e^{-r(\tau \wedge \tau_n)} (S_{\tau \wedge \tau_n} - K)^+] &\leq E_{s,y} [e^{-r(\tau \wedge \tau_n)} U(S_{\tau \wedge \tau_n}, Y_{\tau \wedge \tau_n})] \\ &\leq U(s, y) + E_{s,y} [M_{\tau \wedge \tau_n}] = U(s, y). \end{aligned}$$

The verification assertion

Hence, by means of Fatou lemma, we obtain:

$$E_{s,y}[e^{-r\tau} (S_\tau - K)^+] \leq E_{s,y}[e^{-r\tau} U(S_\tau, Y_\tau)] \leq U(s, y)$$

for any stopping times τ and all $(s, y) \in (0, \infty)^2$.

Since $U(s, y)$ and $g_*(y)$ solves the free-boundary problem, we have:

$$e^{-r(\tau_* \wedge \tau_n)} (S_{\tau_* \wedge \tau_n} - K)^+ = e^{-r(\tau_* \wedge \tau_n)} U(S_{\tau_* \wedge \tau_n}, Y_{\tau_* \wedge \tau_n}) = U(s, y) + M_{\tau_* \wedge \tau_n}$$

for any localizing sequence of stopping times $(\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Therefore, applying the Lebesgue dominated convergence, we get:

$$E_{s,y}[e^{-r\tau_*} (S_{\tau_*} - K)^+] = E_{s,y}[e^{-r\tau_*} U(S_{\tau_*}, Y_{\tau_*})] = U(s, y)$$

for all $(s, y) \in (0, \infty)^2$, that proves the desired assertion. \square

The main result

Theorem. *The value function takes the form:*

$$V_*(s, \pi) = \begin{cases} U_*(s, s^{-\eta}\pi/(1-\pi)), & \text{if } 0 < s < g_*(s^{-\eta}\pi/(1-\pi)) \\ s - K, & \text{if } s \geq g_*(s^{-\eta}\pi/(1-\pi)) \end{cases}$$

and the optimal exercise boundary $b_(\pi)$ is the inverse to:*

$$b_*^{-1}(s) = s^\eta g_*^{-1}(s)/(1 + s^\eta g_*^{-1}(s))$$

for each $rK/(\delta_0 \vee \delta_1) < s < rK/(\delta_0 \wedge \delta_1)$ with $\eta = (\delta_0 - \delta_1)/\sigma^2$.

Moreover, both the value function $V_(s, \pi)$ and the boundary $b_*(\pi)$ are decreasing (increasing) and continuous in $\pi \in [0, 1]$, whenever $\delta_0 < \delta_1$ ($\delta_0 > \delta_1$).*

Some estimates

Remark 1. It can be checked that the function:

$$\widehat{W}(s, \pi) = W(s, 0; a_*(0)) (1 - \pi) + W(s, 1; a_*(0)) \pi$$

solves the partial differential equation above for $0 < s < \widehat{a}(\pi)$, where

$$W_*(\widehat{a}(\pi), 0; a_*(0)) (1 - \pi) + W_*(\widehat{a}(\pi), 1; a_*(0)) \pi = \widehat{a}(\pi) - K$$

for all $\pi \in [0, 1]$. It follows that the function:

$$\widehat{W}(s, \pi) = \begin{cases} W(s, \pi; \widehat{a}(\pi)), & \text{if } 0 < s < \widehat{a}(\pi) \\ s - K, & \text{if } s \geq \widehat{a}(\pi) \end{cases}$$

is a lower estimate for the value function $V_*(s, \pi)$, so that

$$W_*(s, 1 - i) \leq \widehat{W}(s, \pi) \leq V_*(s, \pi) \leq W_*(s, i) \quad \text{whenever } \delta_{1-i} > \delta_i, \quad i = 0, 1.$$

Some estimates

Suppose that a function $\widehat{U}(s, y)$ and the boundary $\widehat{g}(y)$ solve:

$$(\mathbb{L}_{(S,Y)}U - rU)(s, y) = \left(\frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_0 s^\eta y}{1 + s^\eta y} - \frac{\eta}{2} (2r - \delta_0 - \delta_1 - \sigma^2) \right) y U_y(s, y) \text{ for } s < g(y)$$

and the general solution takes the form:

$$U(s, y) = C_1(y) s^{\alpha_1} F\left(1 + \varphi_0 + \varphi_1, 1 + \varphi_0 - \varphi_1; 1 + \varphi_0; s^\eta y\right) \\ + C_2(y) s^{\alpha_2} F\left(1 - \varphi_0 + \varphi_1, 1 - \varphi_0 - \varphi_1; 1 - \varphi_0; s^\eta y\right)$$

where

$$\alpha_i = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{r - \delta_0}{\sigma^2} - (-1)^i \varphi_0 \eta, \quad \varphi_i = \frac{1}{\eta} \sqrt{\frac{\delta_i^2}{\sigma^4} + \delta_i \left(1 - \frac{2r}{\sigma^4}\right) + \left(\frac{r}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2}.$$

and $F(a, b; c; x)$ is a Gauss' hypergeometric function.

Some estimates

Applying the boundary conditions, we get that $C_2(y) = 0$, so that:

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(y) g^{\alpha_1}(y) F(1 + \varphi_0 + \varphi_1, 1 + \varphi_0 - \varphi_1; 1 + \varphi_0; g^\eta(y)y) &= g(y) - K \\ \eta C_1(y) g^{\alpha_1 + \eta}(y)y \frac{(1 + \varphi_0)^2 - \varphi_1^2}{1 + \varphi_0} F(2 + \varphi_0 + \varphi_1, 2 + \varphi_0 - \varphi_1; 2 + \varphi_0; g^\eta(y)y) \\ &+ \alpha_1 C_1(y) g^{\alpha_1}(y) F(1 + \varphi_0 + \varphi_1, 1 + \varphi_0 - \varphi_1; 1 + \varphi_0; g^\eta(y)y) = g(y) \end{aligned}$$

and thus, the solution is given by:

$$U(s, y; \hat{g}(y)) = (\hat{g}(y) - K) \frac{s^{\alpha_1} F(1 + \varphi_0 + \varphi_1, 1 + \varphi_0 - \varphi_1; 1 + \varphi_0; s^\eta y)}{\hat{g}^{\alpha_1}(y) F(\rho + \varphi_0 + \varphi_1, \rho + \varphi_0 - \varphi_1; 1 + \varphi_0; \hat{g}^\eta(y)y)}$$

for all $0 < s < \hat{g}(y)$ and each $y > 0$ fixed, where $\hat{g}(y)$ is uniquely determined by:

$$\frac{(1 + \varphi_0)^2 - \varphi_1^2}{1 + \varphi_0} \frac{F(2 + \varphi_0 + \varphi_1, 2 + \varphi_0 - \varphi_1; 2 + \varphi_0; g^\eta(y)y)}{F(1 + \varphi_0 + \varphi_1, 1 + \varphi_0 - \varphi_1; 1 + \varphi_0; g^\eta(y)y)} = \frac{\alpha_1 K + (1 - \alpha_1)g(y)}{(g(y) - K)\eta g^\eta(y)y}$$

Some estimates

Corollary. *Following the arguments of Lemma 2, it is shown that the function:*

$$\widehat{U}(s, y) = \begin{cases} U(s, y; \widehat{g}(y)), & \text{if } 0 < s < \widehat{g}(y) \\ s - K, & \text{if } s \geq \widehat{g}(y) \end{cases}$$

with $U(s, y; \widehat{g}(y))$ defined above coincides with the value function:

$$\widehat{U}(s, y) = \sup_{\tau} E_{s,y} \left[e^{-r\tau} (S_{\tau} - K)^+ \int_0^{\tau} e^{-rt} \left(\frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_0 S_t^{\eta} Y_t}{1 + S_t^{\eta} Y_t} - \frac{\eta}{2} (2r - \delta_0 - \delta_1 - \sigma^2) \right) Y_t \widehat{U}_y(S_t, Y_t) I(S_t < \widehat{g}(Y_t)) dt \right]$$

and $\widehat{g}(y)$ provides the hitting boundary for:

$$\widehat{\tau} = \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid S_t \geq \widehat{g}(Y_t)\}$$

which is an optimal stopping time in the problem above.

Some estimates

Remark 2. Assume that $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1 = 0$ meaning that:

$$\Theta_t \equiv \theta, \quad P(\theta = 1) = \pi, \quad \text{and} \quad P(\theta = 0) = 1 - \pi, \quad \text{for} \quad \pi \in [0, 1].$$

Then, $\widehat{U}(s, y) \equiv U_*(s, y)$ and $\widehat{g}(y) \equiv g_*(y)$ holds, whenever $\delta_0 + \delta_1 = 2r - \sigma^2$.

Remark 3. Under the assumptions above, we have:

$$(\partial_y U_*)(s, y) \Big|_{s=g_*(y)-} = 0$$

for all $y > 0$, and thus

$$(\partial_\pi V_*)(s, \pi) \Big|_{s=b_*(\pi)-} = 0$$

with $b_*(\pi) = g_*(s^{-\eta}\pi/(1-\pi))$ for all $\pi \in (0, 1)$. At the same time, we have:

$$\widehat{W}_s(s, \pi) \Big|_{s=\widehat{a}(\pi)-} < 1$$

for all $\pi \in (0, 1)$.

Thank you!