The topological conjugacy relation for free minimal G-subshifts

Marcin Sabok

Toronto, April 2, 2015

Definition

A Borel equivalence relation on a standard Borel space is *countable* if it has countable classes.

Definition

A Borel equivalence relation on a standard Borel space is *countable* if it has countable classes.

By a classical theorem of Feldman–Moore countable Borel equivalence relations are exactly those which arise as Borel actions of countable discrete groups.

Definition

A Borel equivalence relation on a standard Borel space is *countable* if it has countable classes.

By a classical theorem of Feldman–Moore countable Borel equivalence relations are exactly those which arise as Borel actions of countable discrete groups.

Definition

A countable equivalence relation is called *hyperfinite* if it induced by a Borel action of $\mathbb{Z}$.

Given an equivalence relation E on X and a function $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$, for $x \in X$ denote by $f_x : [x]_E \to \mathbb{R}$ the function $f_x(y) = f(x, y)$.

Given an equivalence relation E on X and a function $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$, for $x \in X$ denote by $f_x : [x]_E \to \mathbb{R}$ the function $f_x(y) = f(x, y)$.

Definition

Suppose E is a countable Borel equivalence relation. E is *amenable* if there exists positive Borel functions $\lambda^n : E \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

- $\lambda^n_x \in \ell^1([x]_E)$ and $||\lambda^n_x||_1 = 1$,
- $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||\lambda^n_x - \lambda^n_y||_1 = 0$ for $(x, y) \in E$.

Given an equivalence relation E on X and a function $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$, for $x \in X$ denote by $f_x : [x]_E \to \mathbb{R}$ the function $f_x(y) = f(x, y)$.

Definition

Suppose E is a countable Borel equivalence relation. E is *amenable* if there exists positive Borel functions $\lambda^n : E \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

- $\lambda^n_x \in \ell^1([x]_E)$ and $||\lambda^n_x||_1 = 1$,
- $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||\lambda^n_x - \lambda^n_y||_1 = 0$ for $(x, y) \in E$.

Theorem (Connes–Feldman–Weiss, Kechris–Miller)

If μ is any Borel probability measure on X and E is a.e. amenable, then E is a.e. hyperfinite.

Suppose G is a group. A natural action of G on 2^G is given by *left-shifts*:

$$(g \cdot s)(h) = s(g^{-1}h).$$

Suppose G is a group. A natural action of G on 2^G is given by *left-shifts*:

$$(g \cdot s)(h) = s(g^{-1}h).$$

Definition

A subset $S \subseteq 2^G$ is called a *G-subshift* (a.k.a *Bernoulli flow*) if it is closed in the topology and closed under the above action.

Suppose G is a group. A natural action of G on 2^G is given by *left-shifts*:

$$(g \cdot s)(h) = s(g^{-1}h).$$

Definition

A subset $S \subseteq 2^G$ is called a *G-subshift* (a.k.a *Bernoulli flow*) if it is closed in the topology and closed under the above action.

Definition

Two G-subshifts $T, S \subseteq 2^G$ are *topologically conjugate* if there exists a homeomorphism $f : S \to T$ which commutes with the left actions.

Definition

A G-subshift S is called *minimal* if it does not contain any proper subshift.

Definition

A G-subshift S is called *minimal* if it does not contain any proper subshift.

Equivalently, a subshift is minimal if every orbit in it is dense.

Definition

A G-subshift S is called *minimal* if it does not contain any proper subshift.

Equivalently, a subshift is minimal if every orbit in it is dense.

Definition

A G-subshift S is *free* if the left action on S is free, i.e. for every $x \in S$: if $g \cdot x = x$, then $g = 1$.

It turns out that for any countable group G the topological conjugacy relation of G subshifts is a countable Borel equivalence relation.

It turns out that for any countable group G the topological conjugacy relation of G subshifts is a countable Borel equivalence relation.

Definition

A *block code* is a function $\sigma : 2^A \to 2$ for some finite subset $A \subseteq G$. A block code induces a G-invariant function $\hat{\sigma} : 2^G \to 2^G$:

$$\hat{\sigma}(x)(g) = \sigma(g^{-1} \cdot x \restriction A).$$

Theorem (Curtis–Hedlund–Lyndon)

Any G-invariant homeomorphism of G-subshifts is given by a block code.

Theorem (Curtis–Hedlund–Lyndon)

Any G-invariant homeomorphism of G-subshifts is given by a block code.

In particular, as there are only countably many block codes, the topological conjugacy relation is a countable Borel equivalence relation.

Question (Gao–Jackson–Seward)

Given a countable group G, what is the complexity of topological conjugacy of free minimal G-subshifts?

Question (Gao–Jackson–Seward)

Given a countable group G, what is the complexity of topological conjugacy of free minimal G-subshifts?

Theorem (Gao–Jackson–Seward)

For any infinite countable group G the topological conjugacy of free minimal G-subshifts is not smooth.

Definition

A group G is *locally finite* if any finitely generated subgroup of G is finite.

Definition

A group G is *locally finite* if any finitely generated subgroup of G is finite.

Theorem (Gao–Jackson–Seward)

If G is locally finite, then the topological conjugacy of free minimal G-subshifts is hyperfinite.

Definition

Note that any countable group G admits a natural *right action* on the set of its free minimal G-subshifts: $S \cdot g = \{x \cdot g : x \in S\}$, where

$$(x \cdot g)(h) = x(hg).$$

Definition

Note that any countable group G admits a natural *right action* on the set of its free minimal G-subshifts: $S \cdot g = \{x \cdot g : x \in S\}$, where

$$(x \cdot g)(h) = x(hg).$$

Note

It is not difficult to see that S and $S \cdot g$ are topologically conjugate for any $g \in G$.

Definition

A group G is *residually finite* if for each $g \neq 1$ in G there exists a finite-index normal subgroup $N \lhd G$ such that $g \notin N$.

Definition

A group G is *residually finite* if for each $g \neq 1$ in G there exists a finite-index normal subgroup $N \triangleleft G$ such that $g \notin N$.

Theorem (S.–Tsankov)

For any residually finite countable groups G that there exists a probability measure on the set of free minimal G-subshifts, which is invariant under the right action of G and such that the stabilizers of points in this action are a.e. amenable

Theorem (folklore)

If a countable group G acts on a probability space preserving the measure and so that

- the induced equivalence relation is amenable,
- a.e. stabilizers are amenable,

then the group G is amenable.

Theorem (folklore)

If a countable group G acts on a probability space preserving the measure and so that

- the induced equivalence relation is amenable,
- a.e. stabilizers are amenable,

then the group G is amenable.

Corollary

For any residually finite non-amenable group G the topological conjugacy relation is not hyperfinite.

Definition

Given a $\mathbb{Z}$-subshift $T \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$, its *topological full group* $[T]$ consists of all homeomorphisms $f : T \to T$ such that $f(x)$ belongs to the same $\mathbb{Z}$-orbit as x, for all $x \in T$.

Definition

Given a $\mathbb{Z}$-subshift $T \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$, its *topological full group* $[T]$ consists of all homeomorphisms $f : T \to T$ such that $f(x)$ belongs to the same $\mathbb{Z}$-orbit as x, for all $x \in T$.

Theorem (Matui, Giordano–Putnam–Skau)

If T is a minimal $\mathbb{Z}$-subshift, then $[T]$ is a f.g. simple group. If T, T' are minimal $\mathbb{Z}$-subshifts, then the following are equivalent:

- $[T]$ and $[T']$ are isomorphic (as groups)
- T is topologically conjugate to T' or to the inverse shift on T'.

Definition

Given a $\mathbb{Z}$-subshift $T \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$, its *topological full group* $[T]$ consists of all homeomorphisms $f : T \to T$ such that $f(x)$ belongs to the same $\mathbb{Z}$-orbit as x, for all $x \in T$.

Theorem (Matui, Giordano–Putnam–Skau)

If T is a minimal $\mathbb{Z}$-subshift, then $[T]$ is a f.g. simple group. If T, T' are minimal $\mathbb{Z}$-subshifts, then the following are equivalent:

- $[T]$ and $[T']$ are isomorphic (as groups)
- T is topologically conjugate to T' or to the inverse shift on T'.

Theorem (Juschenko–Monod)

If T is a minimal $\mathbb{Z}$-subshift, then $[T]$ is amenable.

In terms of Borel-reducibility the two previous theorems show that the topological conjugacy of minimal $\mathbb{Z}$-subshifts is (almost) Borel reducible to the isomorphism of f.g. simple amenable groups.

In terms of Borel-reducibility the two previous theorems show that the topological conjugacy of minimal $\mathbb{Z}$-subshifts is (almost) Borel reducible to the isomorphism of f.g. simple amenable groups.

Question (Thomas)

What is the complexity of the topological conjugacy of minimal $\mathbb{Z}$-subshifts?

In terms of Borel-reducibility the two previous theorems show that the topological conjugacy of minimal $\mathbb{Z}$-subshifts is (almost) Borel reducible to the isomorphism of f.g. simple amenable groups.

Question (Thomas)

What is the complexity of the topological conjugacy of minimal $\mathbb{Z}$-subshifts?

Theorem (Clemens)

The topological conjugacy of (arbitrary, not neccessarily minimal) $\mathbb{Z}$-subshifts is a universal countable Borel equivalence relation.

Definition

Given a residually finite group G, the *profinite topology* on G is the one with basis at 1 consisting of finite-index subgroups.

Definition

Given a residually finite group G, the *profinite topology* on G is the one with basis at 1 consisting of finite-index subgroups.

Definition (Toeplitz, Krieger)

A word $x \in 2^G$ is called *Toeplitz* if x is continuous in the profinite topology.

Definition

A subshift $S \subseteq 2^G$ is Toeplitz if it is generated by a Toeplitz word, i.e. there exists a Toeplitz $x \in 2^G$ such that $S = \mathrm{cl}(G \cdot x)$.

Definition

A subshift $S \subseteq 2^G$ is Toeplitz if it is generated by a Toeplitz word, i.e. there exists a Toeplitz $x \in 2^G$ such that $S = \mathrm{cl}(G \cdot x)$.

Theorem (folklore for $\mathbb{Z}$, Krieger for arbitrary G)

Every Toeplitz subshift is minimal.

Note

In case $G = \mathbb{Z}$, equivalently a word $x \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is Toeplitz if for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists $p > 0$ such that k *has period* p *in* x, i.e.

$$x(k + ip) = x(k) \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Note

In case $G = \mathbb{Z}$, equivalently a word $x \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is Toeplitz if for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists $p > 0$ such that k *has period* p *in* x, i.e.

$$x(k + ip) = x(k) \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Notation

Given $x \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ Toeplitz write

$$\mathrm{Per}_p(x) = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : k \text{ has period } p \text{ in } x\}.$$

Write also

$$H_p(x) = \{0, \ldots, p-1\} \setminus \mathrm{Per}_p(x).$$

Definition

A Toeplitz word $x \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is said to have *separated holes* if

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \min\{|i-j| : i,j \in H_p(x), i \neq j, i,j\} = \infty.$$

Definition

A Toeplitz word $x \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is said to have *separated holes* if

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \min\{|i-j| : i,j \in H_p(x), i \neq j, i,j\} = \infty.$$

Definition

A subshift $S \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ *has separated holes* if it is generated by a Toeplitz word which has separated holes.

Theorem (S.–Tsankov)

The topological conjugacy relation of $\mathbb{Z}$-Toeplitz subshifts with separated holes is amenable.

Theorem (S.–Tsankov)

The topological conjugacy relation of $\mathbb{Z}$-Toeplitz subshifts with separated holes is amenable.

Conjecture (S.)

The topological conjugacy relation of minimal $\mathbb{Z}$-subshifts is hyperfinite.