

The Network Expansion Problem with

Non-linear Costs

Saeedeh Ketabi

Department of Management,

University of Isfahan

*International Conference on Optimization, Transportation and Equilibrium
in Economics*

September 15-19, 2014

- Designing the new links' capacities without improving the existing link facilities,

- Minimizing the summation of two costs, the performance costs of existing and new links and the construction costs of the new links,

- The network expansion problem is to find the minimum of the difference of convex functions over the linear constraints.

- Tuy(1987) proposed a method for the D.C. problem : the problem is transformed to a concave minimization over a convex feasible set.

Introduction

- Network models,
 - *The minimum cost routing problem*, where the objective is to find the optimum way of routing traffic through a given network, satisfying given demands,
 - *The network design problem*, where the network and the capacities of the links should be planned according to the flow pattern.
- The costs,
 - constructing cost of the linking facilities (known as *construction or design cost*),
 - the routing cost of the network (known as *performance cost*).
- Network design problems:
 - new network design
 - network expansion
 - network improvement

The Network Expansion Problem

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad Z = \min \quad & \sum_{l \in L_1} x_l D_l \left(\frac{x_l}{k_l} \right) + \sum_{l \in L_2} y_l D_l \left(\frac{y_l}{c_l} \right) + C_l(c_l) \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & \left. \begin{aligned}
 \sum_{l \in S_i} f_l^i - \sum_{l \in E_i} f_l^i &= d_i^k, \forall k \in K, i \in N \\
 \sum_{k \in K} f_l^k &= \begin{cases} x_l & \forall l \in L_1 \\ y_l & \forall l \in L_2 \end{cases} \\
 f_l^k &\geq 0 \\
 c_l &\geq 0
 \end{aligned} \right\}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2}$$

in which

- N : the set of nodes,
- K : the set of source nodes,
- M : the set of destination nodes,
- d_i^k : the traffic demand on i from k ,
- f_l^k : the flow on link l originated from k ,
- x_l : the total flow on the existing link l ,
- y_l : the total flow on the new link l ,
- D_l : the performance cost function for link l ,
- C_l : the construction cost function for the new link l .

L_1 : the set of existing links,
 L_2 : the set of new links,
 S_i : the set of all link with the start node i ,
 E_i : the set of all links with the end node i ,
 k_l : the existing capacity for the link l ,
 c_l : the required capacity for the new link l ,

- Decomposing the problem on two variable sets y and c and defining

$$H_l(x_l) = x_l D_l \left(\frac{x_l}{k_l} \right), \quad \forall l \in L_1,$$

$$H_l(y_l) = \min_{c_l \geq 0} y_l D_l \left(\frac{y_l}{c_l} \right) + C_l(c_l), \quad \forall l \in L_2$$

- we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad Z &= \min_{l \in L_1} \sum_{l \in L_1} H_l(x_l) - \sum_{l \in L_2} (-H_l(y_l)) \\ (4) \quad &\left. \begin{aligned} \sum_{l \in S_i} f_k^l - \sum_{l \in E_i} f_k^l = d_k^i, \quad \forall k \in K, i \in N \\ \sum_{k \in K} f_k^l = x_l \\ y_l \end{aligned} \right\} \text{s.t.} \\ &\left. \begin{aligned} f_k^l \geq 0 \\ \forall k \in K, l \in L_1 \cup L_2 \\ \forall l \in L_1 \\ \forall l \in L_2 \end{aligned} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

- H_l is convex for $l \in L_1$ and is concave for $l \in L_2$ and the network expansion problem will be equivalent to a flow problem with the objection function as a difference of two convex functions.

Tuy Method for D.C. problem with linear constraints

- Consider the following d.c. optimization problem:

$$(P) \quad \min f(x) - g(y) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \begin{cases} Ax + By + c = 0 \\ x \in X, y \in Y \end{cases}$$

- Introducing the supplementary variable t :

$$(P) \quad \min t - g(y) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \begin{cases} f(x) \leq t \\ Ax + By + c = 0 \\ x \in X, y \in Y \end{cases}$$

- equivalent to:

$$(Q) \quad \min t - g(y) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad (y, t) \in D$$

- in which

$$Y_0 = \{y \in Y : \exists x \in X Ax + By + c = 0\}$$

$$\phi(y) = \inf\{f(x) : Ax + By + c = 0, x \in X\}$$

$$D = \{(y, t) : \phi(y) \leq t, y \in Y_0\}$$

and D is convex.

Algorithm

• step 0

Select the polyhedron S_0 s.t. : $Y_0 \subseteq S_0 \subseteq Y$
and choose an arbitrary $y_0 \in Y_0$.

Solve the convex problem $c(y_0)$ as:

$$\min c(y_k) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{s.t.} \\ Ax + By_k + c = 0 \\ x \in X \end{array} \right.$$

If $\varphi(y_0) = -\infty$, then problem (Q) is infinite (Stop).

Otherwise, let λ^0 be the kuhn-tucker multipliers vector and :

$$T_1 = \{ (y, t) \in S_0, \lambda^0 B(y - y_0) + \varphi(y_0) - t \leq 0 \}$$

and $k \rightarrow 1$.

• step 1

Solve the following relaxed problem. Let its optimal solution be (y^k, t^k) .

$$(Q^k) \quad \min_{y, t \in T^k} t - g(y)$$

• **step 2**

Solve the following linear program $(R^*(y^k))$ (solution: μ^k and γ^k).

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \mu(By^k\mu + c) + \gamma d \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & -\mu A + \gamma E = 0 \\ & \mu e \leq 1 \\ & \gamma \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

It is the dual to the problem $(R(y^k))$ in which the optimal solution $\theta = 0$ would be equivalent to the feasibility condition $y^k \in Y_0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \theta \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax + By^k + c = e\theta \\ & x \in X, \theta \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

If $\mu^k = \gamma^k = 0$, then go to step 3, otherwise go to step 4.

• **step 3**

Solve the convex problem $(c(y^k))$ (solution: $y^k, \phi(y^k)$).

If $\phi(y^k) = -\infty$, then problem (Q) is infinite (Stop).

If $\phi(y^k) \leq t_k$, then (y^k, t_k) is optimal to (Q) and (p) (Stop).

Otherwise, $\phi(y^k) > t_k$, then let λ_k be the kuhn-tucker multipliers for $c(Y^k)$ and add the following constraint to T_k .

$$\lambda_k B(y - y^k) + \phi(y^k) - t \leq 0$$

Let $k \rightarrow k + 1$ and return to step 1.

- **step 4**

Add the following constraint to T_k .

$$\mu_k^k(Bg + c) + \gamma_k d \leq 0$$

Let $k \rightarrow k + 1$ and return to step 1.

Tuy Method for the Network Expansion Problem

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5) \quad Z = \min & \quad \sum_{l \in T_1} H_l(x_l) - \sum_{l \in T_2} (-H_l(y_l)) \\
 (6) \quad & \left. \begin{aligned} & \sum_{l \in S_1 \cup T_1} x_l + \sum_{l \in S_2 \cup T_2} y_l - \sum_{l \in E_1 \cup T_1} x_l - \sum_{l \in E_2 \cup T_2} y_l = d_i, \forall i \in N \\ & x_l \geq 0, \forall l \in T_1, \quad y_l \geq 0, \forall l \in T_2 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{s.t.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Consider the convex problem as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7) \quad & \min (c(y_k)) \\
 (8) \quad & \left. \begin{aligned} & \sum_{l \in S_1 \cup T_1} x_l - \sum_{l \in E_1 \cup T_1} x_l = p_i, \forall i \in N \\ & x_l \geq 0, \forall l \in T_1 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{s.t.}
 \end{aligned}$$

If x^* is the optimal solution to problem $(c(y_k))$, then its Lagrangian multipliers, u_i and v_l is the solution of the following system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (9) \quad & H_l^*(x_l^*) + u_i - v_l = 0 \quad \text{for } l \in T_1 \\
 & v_l = 0 \quad \text{for } l \in T_1 \\
 & v_l \geq 0 \quad \text{for } l \in T_1
 \end{aligned}$$

It is not necessary to solve problem $(R^*(y_k))$, because for each $y_k \in Y$, there is one $x \in X$ such that the flow conservation constraint holds, and therefore there exists one $y_k \in Y_0$.

Numerical Example

Consider a network of 6 nodes and 16 existing links and 2 new links. Assume that the supply at node 1 is 10 and the demand at node 6 is 10.

$$D_l \left(\frac{k_l}{x_l} \right) = a_l + b_l \left(\frac{k_l}{x_l} \right)^4$$

$$x_l \sqrt{c_l} = g_l$$

$$H_l(x_l) = a_l + b_l \left(\frac{k_l}{x_l} \right)^4, \quad l \in l_1$$

and

$$H_l(y_l) = a_l + b_l \left(\frac{k_l}{y_l} \right)^4 + g_l \left(\frac{16}{g_l} \right)^5, \quad l \in l_2.$$

Data

Link no.	start node	end node	a_l	b_l	k_l
1	1	3	2	10	3
2	2	1	3	5	10
3	2	4	3	3	9
4	2	3	4	20	4
5	2	5	5	50	3
6	3	1	2	20	2
7	3	2	1	10	1
8	3	5	1	1	10
9	4	2	2	8	45
10	4	3	3	3	3
11	4	6	9	2	2
12	5	3	4	10	6
13	5	4	4	25	44
14	5	6	2	33	20
15	6	4	5	5	1
16	6	5	6	1	4.5

The Data for the existing links in the test example

Link no.	start node	end node	a_l	b_l	g_l
1	2	5	0.4	0.5	0.2
2	3	4	0.5	0.5	0.25

The Data for the new links in the test example

intermediate result

- $Y_0 = \{(y_1, y_2) | 0 \leq y_1 \leq 10, 0 \leq y_2 \leq 10\}$
- initial feasible flow $y^0 = (0, 0)$
- The flow on the existing links is determined by Frank & Wolfe method as $x^0 = (1.90, 8.10, 0, 0.79, 1.11, 0, 0, 8.89, 0, 0, 1.11, 0, 0, 8.89, 0, 0)$ with the objective value of $\phi(y^0) = 102.25$.
- The corresponding Lagrangian multipliers is: $\lambda^0 = (0, 8.59, 12.75, 15.35, 16.87, 25.31)$.
- $T_1 = \{(y, t) | 0 \leq y_1 \leq 10, 0 \leq y_2 \leq 10, 0 \leq t \leq 100000, 8.27y_1 + 2.61y_2 + t \geq 102.25\}$
- then, the solution to the concave problem with the feasible set T_1 would be $y_1^1 = 10.01, Y_2^1 = 7.45$ and $t^1 = 0.00$ with the objective value of $t^1 - g(y^1) = 10.03$.
- $\phi(y^1) > t^1 + 0.005\phi(y^1)$, a cut is added to separate y^1 , the flow on the new links, from T_1 .

- The cuts which have been added for the solutions of the concave problem are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \leq 37.73 & -6.03y_1 + 0.49y_2 + t \\
 & \leq 16.25 & 17.92y_1 - 19.04y_2 + t \\
 & \leq 84.33 & 4.21y_1 - 5.19y_2 + t \\
 & \leq 72.60 & -6.00y_1 + 6.21y_2 + t \\
 & \leq 88.56 & 0.71y_1 + 0.98y_2 + t \\
 & \leq 83.20 & -1.60y_1 + 0.69y_2 + t \\
 & \leq 87.51 & 1.18y_1 - 1.06y_2 + t \\
 & \leq 90.65 & 0.93y_1 - 1.11y_2 + t
 \end{aligned}$$

Result

k	y_k^1	y_k^2	t_k	$t_k - g(y_k)$	$\phi(y_k)$	$\phi(y_k) - g(y_k)$	$\phi(y_k) - t_k$	UBD
1	10.01	7.45	0.00	10.03	94.56	104.59	94.56	102.25
2	3.03	10.00	51.12	59.28	152.52	160.68	101.40	102.25
3	3.68	5.61	57.18	63.10	98.05	103.97	40.87	102.25
4	4.55	0.00	65.16	67.71	99.99	102.54	34.83	102.25
5	2.35	1.08	80.01	82.39	85.91	88.29	5.90	88.29
6	5.22	3.64	81.25	86.73	89.09	94.57	7.84	88.29
7	2.08	1.87	85.23	88.01	87.13	89.91	1.90	88.29
8	2.19	1.01	86.00	88.25	89.81	92.06	3.81	88.29
9	2.60	0.00	88.22	89.79	89.31	90.88	1.09	88.29

The result for each iteration of Tuy method for the test example
Optimal solution

$$x^* = (2.09, 7.91, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0.26, 6.57, 0, 0.06, 1.02, 0, 0, 8.98, 0, 0)$$

$$y^* = (2.35, 1.08)$$

The designed capacities for the new links are determined by definition from H and $c^* = (5.03, 2.02)$.