

A quick introduction to Γ -convergence and its applications

Luigi Ambrosio

Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa
<http://cvgmt.sns.it>
luigi.ambrosio@sns.it

Outline

- Basic abstract theory
- A model case with no derivatives
- Discrete to continuum and viceversa
- Elliptic operators in divergence form
- Expansions by Γ -convergence
- Phase transitions and image segmentation
- Problems with multiple scales
- Dimension reduction
- From convergence of minimizers to evolution problems

Outline

- **Basic abstract theory**
- A model case with no derivatives
- Discrete to continuum and viceversa
- Elliptic operators in divergence form
- Expansions by Γ -convergence
- Phase transitions and image segmentation
- Problems with multiple scales
- Dimension reduction
- From convergence of minimizers to evolution problems

Outline

- Basic abstract theory
- A model case with no derivatives
- Discrete to continuum and viceversa
- Elliptic operators in divergence form
- Expansions by Γ -convergence
- Phase transitions and image segmentation
- Problems with multiple scales
- Dimension reduction
- From convergence of minimizers to evolution problems

Outline

- Basic abstract theory
- A model case with no derivatives
- Discrete to continuum and viceversa
- Elliptic operators in divergence form
- Expansions by Γ -convergence
- Phase transitions and image segmentation
- Problems with multiple scales
- Dimension reduction
- From convergence of minimizers to evolution problems

Outline

- Basic abstract theory
- A model case with no derivatives
- Discrete to continuum and viceversa
- Elliptic operators in divergence form
- Expansions by Γ -convergence
- Phase transitions and image segmentation
- Problems with multiple scales
- Dimension reduction
- From convergence of minimizers to evolution problems

Outline

- Basic abstract theory
- A model case with no derivatives
- Discrete to continuum and viceversa
- Elliptic operators in divergence form
- Expansions by Γ -convergence
- Phase transitions and image segmentation
- Problems with multiple scales
- Dimension reduction
- From convergence of minimizers to evolution problems

Outline

- Basic abstract theory
- A model case with no derivatives
- Discrete to continuum and viceversa
- Elliptic operators in divergence form
- Expansions by Γ -convergence
- Phase transitions and image segmentation
- Problems with multiple scales
- Dimension reduction
- From convergence of minimizers to evolution problems

Outline

- Basic abstract theory
- A model case with no derivatives
- Discrete to continuum and viceversa
- Elliptic operators in divergence form
- Expansions by Γ -convergence
- Phase transitions and image segmentation
- Problems with multiple scales
- Dimension reduction
- From convergence of minimizers to evolution problems

Outline

- Basic abstract theory
- A model case with no derivatives
- Discrete to continuum and viceversa
- Elliptic operators in divergence form
- Expansions by Γ -convergence
- Phase transitions and image segmentation
- Problems with multiple scales
- Dimension reduction
- From convergence of minimizers to evolution problems

Outline

- Basic abstract theory
- A model case with no derivatives
- Discrete to continuum and viceversa
- Elliptic operators in divergence form
- Expansions by Γ -convergence
- Phase transitions and image segmentation
- Problems with multiple scales
- Dimension reduction
- From convergence of minimizers to evolution problems

Introduction

The theory of Γ -convergence was invented in the '70 by E. De Giorgi. Among the precursors of the theory, one should mention:

- the Mosco convergence (for convex functions and their duals);
- the G -convergence of Spagnolo for elliptic operators in divergence form;
- the epi-convergence, namely the Hausdorff convergence of the epigraphs.

But, it is only with De Giorgi and with the examples worked out by his school that the theory reached a mature stage.

Introduction

The theory of Γ -convergence was invented in the '70 by E. De Giorgi.

Among the precursors of the theory, one should mention:

- the Mosco convergence (for convex functions and their duals);
- the G -convergence of Spagnolo for elliptic operators in divergence form;
- the epi-convergence, namely the Hausdorff convergence of the epigraphs.

But, it is only with De Giorgi and with the examples worked out by his school that the theory reached a mature stage.

Introduction

The theory of Γ -convergence was invented in the '70 by E. De Giorgi. Among the precursors of the theory, one should mention:

- the Mosco convergence (for convex functions and their duals);
- the G -convergence of Spagnolo for elliptic operators in divergence form;
- the epi-convergence, namely the Hausdorff convergence of the epigraphs.

But, it is only with De Giorgi and with the examples worked out by his school that the theory reached a mature stage.

Introduction

The theory of Γ -convergence was invented in the '70 by E. De Giorgi. Among the precursors of the theory, one should mention:

- the Mosco convergence (for convex functions and their duals);
- the G -convergence of Spagnolo for elliptic operators in divergence form;
- the epi-convergence, namely the Hausdorff convergence of the epigraphs.

But, it is only with De Giorgi and with the examples worked out by his school that the theory reached a mature stage.

Introduction

The theory of Γ -convergence was invented in the '70 by E. De Giorgi. Among the precursors of the theory, one should mention:

- the Mosco convergence (for convex functions and their duals);
- the G -convergence of Spagnolo for elliptic operators in divergence form;
- the epi-convergence, namely the Hausdorff convergence of the epigraphs.

But, it is only with De Giorgi and with the examples worked out by his school that the theory reached a mature stage.

Introduction

The theory of Γ -convergence was invented in the '70 by E. De Giorgi. Among the precursors of the theory, one should mention:

- the Mosco convergence (for convex functions and their duals);
- the G -convergence of Spagnolo for elliptic operators in divergence form;
- the epi-convergence, namely the Hausdorff convergence of the epigraphs.

But, it is only with De Giorgi and with the examples worked out by his school that the theory reached a mature stage.

Introduction

The theory of Γ -convergence was invented in the '70 by E. De Giorgi. Among the precursors of the theory, one should mention:

- the Mosco convergence (for convex functions and their duals);
- the G -convergence of Spagnolo for elliptic operators in divergence form;
- the epi-convergence, namely the Hausdorff convergence of the epigraphs.

But, it is only with De Giorgi and with the examples worked out by his school that the theory reached a mature stage.

References

- G.ALBERTI, S.MÜLLER: *A new approach to variational problems with multiple scales*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **54** (2001), 764-825.
- L.AMBROSIO, V.M.TORTORELLI: *Approximation of functionals depending on jumps by elliptic functionals via Γ -convergence*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **43** (1990), 999-1036.
- L.AMBROSIO, N.GIGLI, G.SAVARÉ: *Gradient flows in metric spaces and in the space of probability measures*. Birkhäuser, 2005 (Second edition, 2008).
- A.BRAIDES: *Γ -convergence for beginners*. Oxford University Press, 2002.
- A.BRAIDES: *Local minimization, variational evolution and Γ -convergence*. Springer, 2014.
- G.DAL MASO: *An introduction to Γ -convergence*. Birkhäuser, 1993.
- E.DE GIORGI, T.FRANZONI: *Su un tipo di convergenza variazionale*. Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur., **58** (1975), 842-850.
- G.FRIESECKE, R.D.JAMES, S.MÜLLER: *A hierarchy of plate models derived from nonlinear elasticity by Γ -convergence*. Archive for Rational Mechanics & Analysis, **180** (2006), 183-236.
- L.MODICA: *The gradient theory of phase transitions and the minimal interface criterion*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **98** (1987), 123-142.
- L.MODICA, S.MORTOLA: *Un esempio di Γ -convergenza*. Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., **14** (1977), 285-299.
- D.MUMFORD, J.SHAH: *Optimal approximation by piecewise smooth functions and associated variational problems*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **17** (1989), 577-685.
- E.SANDIER, S.SERFATY: *Γ -convergence of gradient flows and applications to Ginzburg-Landau vortex dynamics*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **57** (2004), 1627-1672.
- S.SPAGNOLO: *Sulla convergenza di soluzioni di equazioni paraboliche ed ellittiche*. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, Cl. Sci., **22** (1968), 577-597.

Introduction

Γ -convergence is a “variational” convergence, somehow the most the natural one to pass to the limit in variational problems.

More specifically we shall deal with the Γ^- convergence, the one designed to pass to the limit in *minimum* problems.

The most general definition of Γ^- upper and lower limits, for $F : I \times X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$:

$$\begin{cases} \Gamma^{-,+} \lim F(x) := \sup_{U \ni x} \inf_{i \in I} \sup_{j \geq i} \inf_{y \in U} F(j, y), \\ \Gamma^{-,-} \lim F(x) := \sup_{U \ni x} \sup_{i \in I} \inf_{j \geq i} \inf_{y \in U} F(j, y). \end{cases}$$

From now on, our index set I will be \mathbb{N} and we work in a metric space (X, d) , dropping the $-$ from Γ^- .

Introduction

Γ -convergence is a “variational” convergence, somehow the most the natural one to pass to the limit in variational problems.

More specifically we shall deal with the Γ^- convergence, the one designed to pass to the limit in *minimum* problems.

The most general definition of Γ^- upper and lower limits, for $F : I \times X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$:

$$\begin{cases} \Gamma^{-,+} \lim F(x) := \sup_{U \ni x} \inf_{i \in I} \sup_{j \geq i} \inf_{y \in U} F(j, y), \\ \Gamma^{-,-} \lim F(x) := \sup_{U \ni x} \sup_{i \in I} \inf_{j \geq i} \inf_{y \in U} F(j, y). \end{cases}$$

From now on, our index set I will be \mathbb{N} and we work in a metric space (X, d) , dropping the $-$ from Γ^- .

Introduction

Γ -convergence is a “variational” convergence, somehow the most the natural one to pass to the limit in variational problems.

More specifically we shall deal with the Γ^- convergence, the one designed to pass to the limit in *minimum* problems.

The most general definition of Γ^- upper and lower limits, for $F : I \times X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Gamma^{-,+} \lim F(x) := \sup_{U \ni x} \inf_{i \in I} \sup_{j \geq i} \inf_{y \in U} F(j, y), \\ \Gamma^{-,-} \lim F(x) := \sup_{U \ni x} \sup_{i \in I} \inf_{j \geq i} \inf_{y \in U} F(j, y). \end{array} \right.$$

From now on, our index set I will be \mathbb{N} and we work in a metric space (X, d) , dropping the $-$ from Γ^- .

Introduction

Γ -convergence is a “variational” convergence, somehow the most the natural one to pass to the limit in variational problems.

More specifically we shall deal with the Γ^- convergence, the one designed to pass to the limit in *minimum* problems.

The most general definition of Γ^- upper and lower limits, for $F : I \times X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Gamma^{-,+} \lim F(x) := \sup_{U \ni x} \inf_{i \in I} \sup_{j \geq i} \inf_{y \in U} F(j, y), \\ \Gamma^{-,-} \lim F(x) := \sup_{U \ni x} \sup_{i \in I} \inf_{j \geq i} \inf_{y \in U} F(j, y). \end{array} \right.$$

From now on, our index set I will be \mathbb{N} and we work in a metric space (X, d) , dropping the $-$ from Γ^- .

Sequential definition of Γ -convergence

Let (X, d) be a metric space, $F_n : X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ lower semicontinuous. As in many other cases, to define convergence we pass through the intermediate notions of upper and lower limits:

$$\Gamma - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) := \inf \left\{ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x_n) : x_n \rightarrow x \right\},$$

$$\Gamma - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) := \inf \left\{ \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x_n) : x_n \rightarrow x \right\}.$$

It is obvious that $\Gamma - \liminf_n F_n \leq \Gamma - \limsup_n F_n$, and it is not too difficult to check that they are both lower semicontinuous. We say that F_n Γ converge if

$$\Gamma - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) \leq \Gamma - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) \quad \forall x \in X$$

and we denote the common value of the upper and lower Γ limits by $\Gamma - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n$.

Sequential definition of Γ -convergence

Let (X, d) be a metric space, $F_n : X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ lower semicontinuous. As in many other cases, to define convergence we pass through the intermediate notions of upper and lower limits:

$$\Gamma - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) := \inf \left\{ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x_n) : x_n \rightarrow x \right\},$$

$$\Gamma - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) := \inf \left\{ \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x_n) : x_n \rightarrow x \right\}.$$

It is obvious that $\Gamma - \liminf_n F_n \leq \Gamma - \limsup_n F_n$, and it is not too difficult to check that they are both lower semicontinuous. We say that F_n Γ converge if

$$\Gamma - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) \leq \Gamma - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) \quad \forall x \in X$$

and we denote the common value of the upper and lower Γ limits by $\Gamma - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n$.

Sequential definition of Γ -convergence

Let (X, d) be a metric space, $F_n : X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ lower semicontinuous. As in many other cases, to define convergence we pass through the intermediate notions of upper and lower limits:

$$\Gamma - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) := \inf \left\{ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x_n) : x_n \rightarrow x \right\},$$

$$\Gamma - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) := \inf \left\{ \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x_n) : x_n \rightarrow x \right\}.$$

It is obvious that $\Gamma - \liminf_n F_n \leq \Gamma - \limsup_n F_n$, and it is not too difficult to check that they are both lower semicontinuous. We say that F_n Γ converge if

$$\Gamma - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) \leq \Gamma - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) \quad \forall x \in X$$

and we denote the common value of the upper and lower Γ limits by $\Gamma - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n$.

Sequential definition of Γ -convergence

Let (X, d) be a metric space, $F_n : X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ lower semicontinuous. As in many other cases, to define convergence we pass through the intermediate notions of upper and lower limits:

$$\Gamma - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) := \inf \left\{ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x_n) : x_n \rightarrow x \right\},$$

$$\Gamma - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) := \inf \left\{ \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x_n) : x_n \rightarrow x \right\}.$$

It is obvious that $\Gamma - \liminf_n F_n \leq \Gamma - \limsup_n F_n$, and it is not too difficult to check that they are both lower semicontinuous. We say that F_n Γ converge if

$$\Gamma - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) \leq \Gamma - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) \quad \forall x \in X$$

and we denote the common value of the upper and lower Γ limits by $\Gamma - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n$.

Sequential definition of Γ -convergence

Let (X, d) be a metric space, $F_n : X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ lower semicontinuous. As in many other cases, to define convergence we pass through the intermediate notions of upper and lower limits:

$$\Gamma - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) := \inf \left\{ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x_n) : x_n \rightarrow x \right\},$$

$$\Gamma - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) := \inf \left\{ \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x_n) : x_n \rightarrow x \right\}.$$

It is obvious that $\Gamma - \liminf_n F_n \leq \Gamma - \limsup_n F_n$, and it is not too difficult to check that they are both lower semicontinuous. We say that F_n Γ converge if

$$\Gamma - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) \leq \Gamma - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) \quad \forall x \in X$$

and we denote the common value of the upper and lower Γ limits by $\Gamma - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n$.

How one proves Γ -convergence

As soon as we have a guess F for the Γ -limit, we have to prove that

$$\Gamma - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) \leq F(x) \quad \text{and} \quad F(x) \leq \Gamma - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x).$$

The first inequality means that we should be able to find $(x_n) \subset X$ convergent to x with $\limsup_n F_n(x_n) \leq F(x)$. Any sequence (x_n) with this property is called *recovery* sequence.

The second inequality means that we should be able to prove, for *any* $(x_n) \subset X$ convergent to x , the lower bound for the liminf, namely $\liminf_n F_n(x_n) \geq F(x)$.

Warning!! In general pointwise convergence has nothing to do with Γ -convergence, for instance $F_n(x) = \sin(nx)$ Γ -converge to -1 . In this case

$$x_n = -\frac{\pi}{2n} + \frac{2[nx/2]\pi}{n} \quad \text{is a recovery sequence.}$$

How one proves Γ -convergence

As soon as we have a guess F for the Γ -limit, we have to prove that

$$\Gamma - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) \leq F(x) \quad \text{and} \quad F(x) \leq \Gamma - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x).$$

The first inequality means that we should be able to find $(x_n) \subset X$ convergent to x with $\limsup_n F_n(x_n) \leq F(x)$. Any sequence (x_n) with this property is called *recovery* sequence.

The second inequality means that we should be able to prove, for *any* $(x_n) \subset X$ convergent to x , the lower bound for the liminf, namely $\liminf_n F_n(x_n) \geq F(x)$.

Warning!! In general pointwise convergence has nothing to do with Γ -convergence, for instance $F_n(x) = \sin(nx)$ Γ -converge to -1 .
In this case

$$x_n = -\frac{\pi}{2n} + \frac{2[nx/2]\pi}{n} \quad \text{is a recovery sequence.}$$

How one proves Γ -convergence

As soon as we have a guess F for the Γ -limit, we have to prove that

$$\Gamma - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) \leq F(x) \quad \text{and} \quad F(x) \leq \Gamma - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x).$$

The first inequality means that we should be able to find $(x_n) \subset X$ convergent to x with $\limsup_n F_n(x_n) \leq F(x)$. Any sequence (x_n) with this property is called *recovery* sequence.

The second inequality means that we should be able to prove, for *any* $(x_n) \subset X$ convergent to x , the lower bound for the liminf, namely $\liminf_n F_n(x_n) \geq F(x)$.

Warning!! In general pointwise convergence has nothing to do with Γ -convergence, for instance $F_n(x) = \sin(nx)$ Γ -converge to -1 . In this case

$$x_n = -\frac{\pi}{2n} + \frac{2[nx/2]\pi}{n} \quad \text{is a recovery sequence.}$$

How one proves Γ -convergence

As soon as we have a guess F for the Γ -limit, we have to prove that

$$\Gamma - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) \leq F(x) \quad \text{and} \quad F(x) \leq \Gamma - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x).$$

The first inequality means that we should be able to find $(x_n) \subset X$ convergent to x with $\limsup_n F_n(x_n) \leq F(x)$. Any sequence (x_n) with this property is called *recovery* sequence.

The second inequality means that we should be able to prove, for *any* $(x_n) \subset X$ convergent to x , the lower bound for the liminf, namely $\liminf_n F_n(x_n) \geq F(x)$.

Warning!! In general pointwise convergence has nothing to do with Γ -convergence, for instance $F_n(x) = \sin(nx)$ Γ -converge to -1 . In this case

$$x_n = -\frac{\pi}{2n} + \frac{2[nx/2]\pi}{n} \quad \text{is a recovery sequence.}$$

How one proves Γ -convergence

As soon as we have a guess F for the Γ -limit, we have to prove that

$$\Gamma - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) \leq F(x) \quad \text{and} \quad F(x) \leq \Gamma - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x).$$

The first inequality means that we should be able to find $(x_n) \subset X$ convergent to x with $\limsup_n F_n(x_n) \leq F(x)$. Any sequence (x_n) with this property is called *recovery* sequence.

The second inequality means that we should be able to prove, for *any* $(x_n) \subset X$ convergent to x , the lower bound for the liminf, namely $\liminf_n F_n(x_n) \geq F(x)$.

Warning!! In general pointwise convergence has nothing to do with Γ -convergence, for instance $F_n(x) = \sin(nx)$ Γ -converge to -1 .
In this case

$$x_n = -\frac{\pi}{2n} + \frac{2[nx/2]\pi}{n} \quad \text{is a recovery sequence.}$$

How one proves Γ -convergence

As soon as we have a guess F for the Γ -limit, we have to prove that

$$\Gamma - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) \leq F(x) \quad \text{and} \quad F(x) \leq \Gamma - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x).$$

The first inequality means that we should be able to find $(x_n) \subset X$ convergent to x with $\limsup_n F_n(x_n) \leq F(x)$. Any sequence (x_n) with this property is called *recovery* sequence.

The second inequality means that we should be able to prove, for *any* $(x_n) \subset X$ convergent to x , the lower bound for the liminf, namely $\liminf_n F_n(x_n) \geq F(x)$.

Warning!! In general pointwise convergence has nothing to do with Γ -convergence, for instance $F_n(x) = \sin(nx)$ Γ -converge to -1 .

In this case

$$x_n = -\frac{\pi}{2n} + \frac{2[nx/2]\pi}{n} \quad \text{is a recovery sequence.}$$

How one proves Γ -convergence

As soon as we have a guess F for the Γ -limit, we have to prove that

$$\Gamma - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) \leq F(x) \quad \text{and} \quad F(x) \leq \Gamma - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x).$$

The first inequality means that we should be able to find $(x_n) \subset X$ convergent to x with $\limsup_n F_n(x_n) \leq F(x)$. Any sequence (x_n) with this property is called *recovery* sequence.

The second inequality means that we should be able to prove, for *any* $(x_n) \subset X$ convergent to x , the lower bound for the liminf, namely $\liminf_n F_n(x_n) \geq F(x)$.

Warning!! In general pointwise convergence has nothing to do with Γ -convergence, for instance $F_n(x) = \sin(nx)$ Γ -converge to -1 .
In this case

$$x_n = -\frac{\pi}{2n} + \frac{2[nx/2]\pi}{n} \quad \text{is a recovery sequence.}$$

The two basic theorems of Γ -convergence

The first result clarifies the meaning of variational convergence: limits of (asymptotic) minimizers are minimizers and we have convergence of minimum values.

Theorem 1. *If $\Gamma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n = F$ and $(x_n) \subset X$ is asymptotically minimizing for F_n , i.e.*

$$F_n(x_n) \leq \inf_X F_n + \epsilon_n$$

with $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, then any limit point x of (x_n) minimizes F . In addition, under the equi-coercitivity assumption

$$\inf_X F_n = \inf_K F_n \quad \text{for some compact set } K \subset X \text{ independent of } n,$$

one has that F_n attain their minimum value, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min_X F_n = \min_X F.$$

The two basic theorems of Γ -convergence

The first result clarifies the meaning of variational convergence: limits of (asymptotic) minimizers are minimizers and we have convergence of minimum values.

Theorem 1. *If $\Gamma - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n = F$ and $(x_n) \subset X$ is asymptotically minimizing for F_n , i.e.*

$$F_n(x_n) \leq \inf_X F_n + \epsilon_n$$

with $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, then any limit point x of (x_n) minimizes F . In addition, under the equi-coercitivity assumption

$$\inf_X F_n = \inf_K F_n \quad \text{for some compact set } K \subset X \text{ independent of } n,$$

one has that F_n attain their minimum value, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min_X F_n = \min_X F.$$

The two basic theorems of Γ -convergence

The first result clarifies the meaning of variational convergence: limits of (asymptotic) minimizers are minimizers and we have convergence of minimum values.

Theorem 1. *If $\Gamma - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n = F$ and $(x_n) \subset X$ is asymptotically minimizing for F_n , i.e.*

$$F_n(x_n) \leq \inf_X F_n + \epsilon_n$$

with $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, then any limit point x of (x_n) minimizes F . In addition, under the equi-coercitivity assumption

$$\inf_X F_n = \inf_K F_n \quad \text{for some compact set } K \subset X \text{ independent of } n,$$

one has that F_n attain their minimum value, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min_X F_n = \min_X F.$$

The two basic theorems of Γ -convergence

Proof of the first part. Let $x = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n(k)}$ be a limit point of (x_n) . Obviously we still have $F = \Gamma - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n(k)}$, so that

$$\inf_X F \leq F(x) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n(k)}(x_{n(k)}) = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_X F_{n(k)}.$$

On the other hand, if $(y_{n(k)})$ is a recovery sequence relative to y , then

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_X F_{n(k)} \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n(k)}(y_{n(k)}) \leq F(y).$$

By taking the infimum w.r.t. y we can obtain $\inf_X F$ in the right hand side. Now, combining these two inequalities we obtain that x minimizes F and that $\inf_X F_{n(k)}$ converge to $\min_X F$.

The two basic theorems of Γ -convergence

Proof of the first part. Let $x = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n(k)}$ be a limit point of (x_n) .

Obviously we still have $F = \Gamma - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n(k)}$, so that

$$\inf_X F \leq F(x) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n(k)}(x_{n(k)}) = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_X F_{n(k)}.$$

On the other hand, if $(y_{n(k)})$ is a recovery sequence relative to y , then

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_X F_{n(k)} \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n(k)}(y_{n(k)}) \leq F(y).$$

By taking the infimum w.r.t. y we can obtain $\inf_X F$ in the right hand side. Now, combining these two inequalities we obtain that x minimizes F and that $\inf_X F_{n(k)}$ converge to $\min_X F$.

The two basic theorems of Γ -convergence

Proof of the first part. Let $x = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n(k)}$ be a limit point of (x_n) . Obviously we still have $F = \Gamma - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n(k)}$, so that

$$\inf_X F \leq F(x) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n(k)}(x_{n(k)}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_X F_{n(k)}.$$

On the other hand, if $(y_{n(k)})$ is a recovery sequence relative to y , then

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_X F_{n(k)} \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n(k)}(y_{n(k)}) \leq F(y).$$

By taking the infimum w.r.t. y we can obtain $\inf_X F$ in the right hand side. Now, combining these two inequalities we obtain that x minimizes F and that $\inf_X F_{n(k)}$ converge to $\min_X F$.

The two basic theorems of Γ -convergence

Proof of the first part. Let $x = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n(k)}$ be a limit point of (x_n) . Obviously we still have $F = \Gamma - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n(k)}$, so that

$$\inf_X F \leq F(x) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n(k)}(x_{n(k)}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_X F_{n(k)}.$$

On the other hand, if $(y_{n(k)})$ is a recovery sequence relative to y , then

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_X F_{n(k)} \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n(k)}(y_{n(k)}) \leq F(y).$$

By taking the infimum w.r.t. y we can obtain $\inf_X F$ in the right hand side. Now, combining these two inequalities we obtain that x minimizes F and that $\inf_X F_{n(k)}$ converge to $\min_X F$.

The two basic theorems of Γ -convergence

Proof of the first part. Let $x = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n(k)}$ be a limit point of (x_n) . Obviously we still have $F = \Gamma - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n(k)}$, so that

$$\inf_X F \leq F(x) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n(k)}(x_{n(k)}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_X F_{n(k)}.$$

On the other hand, if $(y_{n(k)})$ is a recovery sequence relative to y , then

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_X F_{n(k)} \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n(k)}(y_{n(k)}) \leq F(y).$$

By taking the infimum w.r.t. y we can obtain $\inf_X F$ in the right hand side. Now, combining these two inequalities we obtain that x minimizes F and that $\inf_X F_{n(k)}$ converge to $\min_X F$.

The two basic theorems of Γ -convergence

Proof of the first part. Let $x = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n(k)}$ be a limit point of (x_n) . Obviously we still have $F = \Gamma - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n(k)}$, so that

$$\inf_X F \leq F(x) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n(k)}(x_{n(k)}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_X F_{n(k)}.$$

On the other hand, if $(y_{n(k)})$ is a recovery sequence relative to y , then

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_X F_{n(k)} \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n(k)}(y_{n(k)}) \leq F(y).$$

By taking the infimum w.r.t. y we can obtain $\inf_X F$ in the right hand side. Now, combining these two inequalities we obtain that x minimizes F and that $\inf_X F_{n(k)}$ converge to $\min_X F$.

The two basic theorems of Γ -convergence

Theorem 2. *If (X, d) is separable, then Γ -convergence is sequentially compact.*

Proof. Let $(U_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a countable basis for the open sets of X , stable under finite intersections. If F_n are given, we may extract by a diagonal argument a subsequence $n(k)$ such that

$$\ell_i := \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{U_i} F_{n(k)} \quad \text{exists for all } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, define

$$F(x) := \sup_{U_i \ni x} \ell_i, \quad x \in X.$$

The Γ -liminf inequality follows by

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n(k)}(x_k) \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{U_i} F_{n(k)} = \ell_i \quad \text{for all } i \text{ s.t. } x \in U_i.$$

The proof of Γ -limsup inequality is left as an exercise.

The two basic theorems of Γ -convergence

Theorem 2. *If (X, d) is separable, then Γ -convergence is sequentially compact.*

Proof. Let $(U_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a countable basis for the open sets of X , stable under finite intersections. If F_n are given, we may extract by a diagonal argument a subsequence $n(k)$ such that

$$\ell_i := \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{U_i} F_{n(k)} \quad \text{exists for all } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, define

$$F(x) := \sup_{U_i \ni x} \ell_i, \quad x \in X.$$

The Γ -liminf inequality follows by

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n(k)}(x_k) \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{U_i} F_{n(k)} = \ell_i \quad \text{for all } i \text{ s.t. } x \in U_i.$$

The proof of Γ -limsup inequality is left as an exercise.

The two basic theorems of Γ -convergence

Theorem 2. *If (X, d) is separable, then Γ -convergence is sequentially compact.*

Proof. Let $(U_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a countable basis for the open sets of X , stable under finite intersections. If F_n are given, we may extract by a diagonal argument a subsequence $n(k)$ such that

$$\ell_i := \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{U_i} F_{n(k)} \quad \text{exists for all } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, define

$$F(x) := \sup_{U_i \ni x} \ell_i, \quad x \in X.$$

The Γ -liminf inequality follows by

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n(k)}(x_k) \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{U_i} F_{n(k)} = \ell_i \quad \text{for all } i \text{ s.t. } x \in U_i.$$

The proof of Γ -limsup inequality is left as an exercise.

The two basic theorems of Γ -convergence

Theorem 2. *If (X, d) is separable, then Γ -convergence is sequentially compact.*

Proof. Let $(U_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a countable basis for the open sets of X , stable under finite intersections. If F_n are given, we may extract by a diagonal argument a subsequence $n(k)$ such that

$$\ell_i := \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{U_i} F_{n(k)} \quad \text{exists for all } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, define

$$F(x) := \sup_{U_i \ni x} \ell_i, \quad x \in X.$$

The Γ -liminf inequality follows by

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n(k)}(x_k) \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{U_i} F_{n(k)} = \ell_i \quad \text{for all } i \text{ s.t. } x \in U_i.$$

The proof of Γ -limsup inequality is left as an exercise.

The two basic theorems of Γ -convergence

Theorem 2. *If (X, d) is separable, then Γ -convergence is sequentially compact.*

Proof. Let $(U_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a countable basis for the open sets of X , stable under finite intersections. If F_n are given, we may extract by a diagonal argument a subsequence $n(k)$ such that

$$\ell_i := \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{U_i} F_{n(k)} \quad \text{exists for all } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, define

$$F(x) := \sup_{U_i \ni x} \ell_i, \quad x \in X.$$

The Γ -liminf inequality follows by

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n(k)}(x_k) \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{U_i} F_{n(k)} = \ell_i \quad \text{for all } i \text{ s.t. } x \in U_i.$$

The proof of Γ -limsup inequality is left as an exercise.

The two basic theorems of Γ -convergence

Theorem 2. *If (X, d) is separable, then Γ -convergence is sequentially compact.*

Proof. Let $(U_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a countable basis for the open sets of X , stable under finite intersections. If F_n are given, we may extract by a diagonal argument a subsequence $n(k)$ such that

$$\ell_i := \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{U_i} F_{n(k)} \quad \text{exists for all } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, define

$$F(x) := \sup_{U_i \ni x} \ell_i, \quad x \in X.$$

The Γ -liminf inequality follows by

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n(k)}(x_k) \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{U_i} F_{n(k)} = \ell_i \quad \text{for all } i \text{ s.t. } x \in U_i.$$

The proof of Γ -limsup inequality is left as an exercise.

Other easy properties

- When the convergence is monotone, i.e. $F_n \leq F_{n+1}$, the monotone (or pointwise) limit is $F(x) = \sup_n F_n(x)$ (in this case the recovery sequence is constant). This happens, for instance for the L^p norms $(\int |f|^p d\mu)^{1/p}$ in a probability space, whose limit and Γ -limit as $p \uparrow \infty$ is the L^∞ norm.
- Γ -convergence is invariant under additive continuous perturbations and left compositions with non-decreasing maps:

$$F = \Gamma - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n \quad \Longrightarrow \quad F + g = \Gamma - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (F_n + g) \quad \forall g \in C(X, \mathbb{R}),$$

$$F = \Gamma - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \phi \circ F = \Gamma - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi \circ F_n \quad \phi \text{ non-decreasing.}$$

Other easy properties

- When the convergence is monotone, i.e. $F_n \leq F_{n+1}$, the monotone (or pointwise) limit is $F(x) = \sup_n F_n(x)$ (in this case the recovery sequence is constant). This happens, for instance for the L^p norms $(\int |f|^p d\mu)^{1/p}$ in a probability space, whose limit and Γ -limit as $p \uparrow \infty$ is the L^∞ norm.
- Γ -convergence is invariant under additive continuous perturbations and left compositions with non-decreasing maps:

$$F = \Gamma - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n \quad \implies \quad F + g = \Gamma - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (F_n + g) \quad \forall g \in C(X, \mathbb{R}),$$

$$F = \Gamma - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n \quad \implies \quad \phi \circ F = \Gamma - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi \circ F_n \quad \phi \text{ non-decreasing.}$$

Other easy properties

- When the convergence is monotone, i.e. $F_n \leq F_{n+1}$, the monotone (or pointwise) limit is $F(x) = \sup_n F_n(x)$ (in this case the recovery sequence is constant). This happens, for instance for the L^p norms $(\int |f|^p d\mu)^{1/p}$ in a probability space, whose limit and Γ -limit as $p \uparrow \infty$ is the L^∞ norm.
- Γ -convergence is invariant under additive continuous perturbations and left compositions with non-decreasing maps:

$$F = \Gamma - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n \quad \implies \quad F + g = \Gamma - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (F_n + g) \quad \forall g \in C(X, \mathbb{R}),$$

$$F = \Gamma - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n \quad \implies \quad \phi \circ F = \Gamma - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi \circ F_n \quad \phi \text{ non-decreasing.}$$